



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,151	12/19/2001	Ertugrul Berkcan	RD-28,476	8199

7590 04/04/2008  
John S. Beulick  
Armstrong Teasdale LLP  
Suite 2600  
One Metropolitan Sq.  
St. Louis, MO 63102

EXAMINER
----------

KARLSEN, ERNEST F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2829

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/04/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/026,151	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BERKCAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ernest F. Karlsen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2829	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ernest F. Karlsen. (3) Mark McCormick.  
 (2) William J. Zychlewicz. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All to a degree.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ladds.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The concept of Applicants' invention and the concept of Ladds were discussed at great length. The new matter issue was discussed in detail. The new matter issue was not resolved and the Examiner indicated that, in his opinion, the only way to resolve the new matter issue would be to file a CIP. No agreement to allow claims was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ernest F. Karlsen/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2829

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
 Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required